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## Company Accounts and Analysis of Financial Statements Chapter 4

## Analysis of Financial Statements

Short answers numerical questions long answers : Solutions of Questions on Page Number: 232

Q1 :

## List the techniques of Financial Statement Analysis.

## Answer :

The following are the commonly used techniques of Financial Statement analysis :

1. Comparative Financial Statements
2. Common Size Financial Statements
3. Trend Analysis
4. Ratio Analysis
5. Cash Flow Statement
6. Fund Flow Statement

The above listed techniques can be classified on the following basis:

## A. On the basis of Comparison

## 1. Inter-firm Comparison

a) Comparative Statement (Balance Sheet, Profit and Loss Account)
b) Common size Statement (of the same period)
c) Ratio of two or more Competitive Firms (of the same period)
d) Cash Flow Statement of two or more Competitive firms
e) Polygon, Bar Diagram

## 2. Intra-firm Comparison

a) Comparative Statement (Balance Sheet, Profit and Loss Account)
b) Common size Statement (of the same period)
c) Ratio of two or more Competitive Firms (of the same period)
d) Cash Flow Statement of two or more Competitive firms
e) Polygon, Bar Diagram

## 3. Horizontal Comparison

4. Vertical Comparison

## B. On the basis of Time

1. Inter-period Comparison
a) Comparative statement (two or more periods)
b) Cash Flow statement (two or more period) etc.

## 2. Cross Sectional (Intra-period) Comparison

a) Common size statement
b) Ratio Analysis

## C. Horizontal Analysis

1. Time series
2. Bar Diagram
3. Polygon
4. Comparative statement

## 5. Ratio Analysis

## D. Vertical Analysis

1. Common size statement

## 2. Pie Diagram

Q2 :

From the following information of Narsimham Company Ltd., prepare a Comparative Income Statement for the years 2004-2005

| Particulars | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rs | $\mathbf{R s}$ |
| Gross Sales | $7,25,000$ | $8,15,000$ |
| Less : Returns | 25,000 | 15,000 |
| Net Sales | $7,00,000$ | $8,00,000$ |
| Cost of Goods Sold | $5,95,000$ | $6,15,000$ |
| Gross Profit | $1,05,000$ | $1,85,000$ |
| Other Expenses |  |  |
| Selling \& distribution Expenses | 23,000 | 24,000 |
| Administration Expenses | 12,700 | 12,500 |
| Total Expenses | 35,700 | 36,500 |
| Operating Income | 69,300 | $1,48,500$ |
| Other Income | 1,200 | 8,050 |
| Non Operating Expenses | 70,500 | $1,56,550$ |
| Net Profit | 1,750 | 1,940 |
|  | 68,750 | $1,54,610$ |

Answer :

Comparative Income Statement of Narsimham Company Ltd.
for the year ended 2004 and 2005

| Particulars | 2004 | 2005 | Absolute Increase (+) or Decrease (â€") | Percentage Increase (+) <br> or <br> Decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | Rs | Rs | \% |
| Gross Sales | 7,25,000 | 8,15,000 | + 90,000 | + 12.41 |
| Less: Return | 25,000 | 15,000 | (ấ") 10,000 | (â€") 40.00 |
| Net Sales | 7,00,000 | 8,00,000 | + 1,00,000 | + 14.28 |
| Cost of Good Sold | 5,95,000 | 6,15,000 | + 20,000 | +3.36 |
| Gross Profit | 1,05,000 | 1,85,000 | + 80,000 | + 76.19 |
| Other Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Selling \& distribution Expenses | 23,000 | 24,000 | + 1,000 | + 4.35 |
| Administration Expenses | 12,700 | 12,500 | ( $\mathrm{a} €^{\prime \prime}$ ) 200 | (â€") 1.57 |
| Total Expenses | 35,700 | 36,500 | + 800 | +2.24 |
| Operating Income | 69,300 | 1,48,500 | + 79,200 | + 114.29 |
| Other Income | 1,200 | 8,050 | +6,850 | + 570.83 |
|  | 70,500 | 1,56,550 | +86,050 | + 122.06 |
| Non Operating Expenses | 1,750 | 1,940 | + 190 | + 10.86 |
| Net Profit | 68,750 | 1,54,610 | + 85,860 | + 124.89 |

1) Absolute Increase or Decrease $=$ Current Year - Previous Year
2) Percentage Increase or Decrease $=\frac{\text { Absolute Increase or Decrease }}{\text { First year absolute figure }} \times 100$

Q3 :

## Describe the different techniques of financial analysis and explain the limitations of financial analysis.


#### Abstract

Answer :

The various techniques used in financial analysis are as follows: 1. Comparative Statements: These statements depict the figures of two or more accounting years simultaneously that help to access the profitability and financial position of a business. The Comparative Statements help us in analysing the trend of the financial position of the business. These statements also enable us to undertake various types of comparisons like inter-firm comparisons and intra-firm comparisons. It presents the change in the financial items both in absolute as well as percentage terms. Therefore, these statements help in measuring the efficiency of the business in relative terms. The analyses based on these statements are known as Horizontal Analysis. 2. Common Size Statements: These statements depict the relationship between various items of financial statements and some common items (like Net Sales and the Total of Balance Sheet) in percentage terms. In other words, various items of Trading and Profit and Loss Account such as Cost of Goods Sold, Non-Operating Incomes and Expenses are expressed in terms of percentage of Net Sales. On the other hand, different items of Balance Sheet such as Fixed Assets, Current Assets, Share Capital, etc. are expressed in terms of percentage of Total of Balance Sheet. These percentage figures are easily comparable with that of the previous years' (i.e. inter-firm comparison) and with that of the figures of other firms in the same industry (i.e. inter-firm comparison) as well. The analyses based on these statements are commonly known as Vertical Analysis.


3. Trend Analysis: This analysis undertakes the study of trend in the financial positions and the operating performance of a business over a series of successive years. In this technique, a particular year is assumed to be the base year and the figures of all other years are expressed in percentage terms of the base year's figures. These trends (or the percentage figures) not only helps in assessing the operational efficiency and the financial position of the business but also helps in detecting the problems and inefficiencies.
4. Ratio Analysis: This technique depicts the relationship between various items of Balance Sheet and the Income Statements. It helps in ascertaining the profitability, operational efficiency, solvency, etc of a firm. The analysis expresses financial items in terms of percentage, fraction, proportion and as number of times. It enables budgetary controls by assessing the qualitative relationship among different financial variables. This analysis provides vital information to different accounting users regarding the financial position, viability and performance of a firm. It also facilitates decision making and policy designing process.
5. Cash Flow Analysis: This analysis is presented in the form of a statement showing inflows and outflows of cash and cash equivalents from operating, investing and financing activities of a
company during a particular period of time. It helps in analysing the reasons of receipts and payments in cash and change in the cash balances during an accounting year in a company.

## Limitations of Financial Analysis

The limitations of Financial Analysis are :

## 1. Ignores Changes in the Price level

The financial analysis fails to capture the change in price level. The figures of different years are taken on nominal values and not in real terms (i.e. not taking price change into considerations).

## 2. Misleading and Wrong Information

The financial analysis fails to reveal the change in the accounting procedures and practices. Consequently they may provide wrong and misleading information.

## 3. Interim and Final Picture

The financial analysis presents only the interim report and thereby provides incomplete information. They fail to provide the final and holistic picture.

## 4. Ignores Qualitative and Non-monetary Aspects

The financial analysis reveals only the monetary aspects. In other words, these analyses consider only that information that can be expressed only in monetary terms. These analyses fail to disclose managerial efficiency, growth prospects, and other non-operational efficiency of a business.

## 5. Accounting Concepts and Conventions

The financial analysis are based an accounting concepts and conventions. Therefore, the analysis and conclusions based on such analyses may not be reliable. For example, the analysis considers only the book-value of various items (i.e. according to the Going Concept) and consequently ignores the present market value of those items. Hence, the analysis may not be realistic.

## 6. Involves Personal Biasness

The financial analysis reflects the personal biasness and personal value judgments of the accountants and clerks involved. There are different techniques used by different personnel for charging depreciation (original cost or written-down value method) and also for inventory valuation. The use of different techniques by different people reduces the effectiveness of the financial analysis.

## 7. Unsuitable for Comparisons

Due to the involvement of personal value judgment, personal biasness and use of different techniques by different accountant, various types of comparisons such as inter-firm and intrafirm comparisons may not be possible and reliable.

Q4 :

## Distinguish between Vertical and Horizontal Analysis of financial data.

## Answer :

| Basis of <br> Difference | Horizontal Analysis | Vertical Analysis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Meaning | It refers to the comparison of an item <br> of the financial statement of one <br> period or periods to its <br> corresponding item of the base <br> accounting period. | It refers to the comparison of <br> itemitems of the financial <br> statement to the common item of <br> the same accounting period. |
| Purpose | Its purpose is to determine the <br> change in an item during an <br> accounting period. The change in the <br> item is expressed either in absolute <br> figures or in percentage or in both <br> terms. | Its purpose is to determine the <br> proportion of item/items to the <br> common item of the same <br> accounting period. The change in <br> the item is expressed either in <br> ratio or in percentage terms. |
| Usefulness | It indicates growth or decline of the <br> item. | It helps in predicting and <br> determining the future relative <br> proportion of an item to the <br> common item. |

Q5 :
Explain the usefulness of trend percentages in interpretation of financial performance of a company.


#### Abstract

Answer : The Trend Analysis presents each financial item in percentage terms for each year. These Trend Analyses not only help the accounting users to assess the financial performance of the business but also assist them to form an opinion about various tendencies and predict the future trend of the business.


## Usefulness and Importance of Trend Analysis

The following are the various importance of Trend Analysis:

## 1. Assists in forecasting

The trends provided by Trend Analysis help the accounting users to forecast the future trend of the business.

## 2. Percentage Terms

The trends are expressed in percentage terms. Analysing the percentage figures is easy and also less time consuming.

## 3. User Friendly

As the trends are expressed in percentage figures, so it is the most popular financial analysis to analyse the financial performance and operational efficiency of the company. In other words, one need not to have an in-depth and sophisticated knowledge of accounting in order to analyse these percentage trends.

## 4. Presents a Broader Picture

The trend analysis presents a broader picture about the financial performance, viability and operational efficiency of a business. Generally, companies prefer to present their financial data for a period of 5 or 10 years in forms of percentage trends, whereas the other techniques of Financial Analysis lack this popularity.

## Q6 :

## Explain the meaning of Analysis and Interpretation.

## Answer :

Analysis and Interpretation refers to a systematic and critical examination of the financial statements. It not only establishes cause and effect relationship among the various items of the financial statements but also presents the financial data in a proper manner. The main purpose of Analysis and Interpretation is to present the financial data in such a manner that is easily understandable and self explanatory. This not only helps the accounting users to assess the financial performance of the business over a period of time but also enables them in decision making and policy and financial designing process.

Country Man Ltd Comparative statement as on March 31, 2010 and 2011

| Particular | 2009â€"10 | 2010â€"11 | Absolute <br> Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | 1,00,000 | 1,50,000 | 50,000 | 50 |
| Less: Cost of Goods Sold | 60,000 | 78,000 | 18,000 | 30 |
| Gross Profit | 40,000 | 72,000 | 32,000 | 80 |
| Less: Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Office and Administrative Exp. | 8,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 25 |
| Selling and Distribution Exp. | 5,000 | 6,000 | 1,000 | 20 |
| Operating Profit | 27,000 | 56,000 | 29,000 | 107.4 |
| Add: Other Income | 3,000 | 4,800 | 1,800 | 60 |
| Less: Non-operating Expenses | 4,000 | 4,800 | 800 | 20 |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax | 26,000 | 56,000 | 30,000 | 115.38 |
| Interest | 2,000 | 1,800 | (200) | (10) |
| Profit before Tax | 24,000 | 54,200 | 30,200 | 125.83 |
| Less: 50\% Income Tax | 12,000 | 27,100 | 15,100 | 125.83 |
|  | 12,000 | 27,100 | 15,100 | 125.83 |

Percentage Change $=\frac{\text { Absolute Change }}{\text { Base Year of 2009-10 }}$

## Interpretation:

1. Sales of the company have increased by $50 \%$ during the year 2010-11 whereas the cost of goods sold has also increased but at a lesser rate. From this, we can infer that the company has followed an efficient sales strategy consequent of which the gross profit of the company has increased by $80 \%$ compared to the previous year (2009-10).
2. In 2010-11, operating expenses have also increased but on the contrary operating profit has increased at a higher rate than the rate of operating expenses.
3. Profit before interest and tax has also increased by $115.38 \%$ during these two years. This indicates the improvement in the operating efficiency of the company.

## Q7 :

What is the importance of comparative statements? Illustrate your answer with particular
reference to comparative income statement.

## Answer :

The following are the importance of Comparative Statements.

## 1. Simple Presentation

The Comparative Statements present the financial data in a simpler form. Moreover, the yearwise data of the same items are presented side-by-side, which not only makes the presentation clear but also enables easy comparisons (both intra-firm and inter-firm) conclusive.

## 2. Easy for Drawing Conclusion

The presentation of comparative statement is so effective that it enables the analyst to draw conclusion quickly and easily and that too without any ambiguity

## 3. Easy to Forecast

The comparative analysis of profitability and operational efficiency of a business over a period of time helps in analysing the trend and also assists the management to forecast and draft various future plans and policy measures accordingly.

## 4. Easy Detection of Problems

By comparing the financial data of two or more years, the financial management can easily detect the problems. While comparing the data, some items may have increased while others have decreased or remained constant. The comparative analysis not only enables the management in locating the problems but also helps them to put various budgetary controls and corrective measures to check whether the current performance is aligned with that of the planned targets.

## Bring out the importance of Financial Analysis


#### Abstract

Answer :

Financial Analysis has great importance to various accounting users on various matters. Income Statements, Balance Sheets and other financial data provides information about expenses and sources of income, profit or loss and also helps in assessing the financial position of a business. These financial data are not useful until they are analysed. There are various tools and methods such as Ratio Analysis, Cash Flow Statements that make the financial data to cater varying needs of various accounting users.


The following are the reasons that advocate in favour of Financial Analysis:

1. It helps in evaluating the profit earning capacity and financial feasibility of a business.
2. It helps in assessing the long-term solvency of the business.
3. It helps in evaluating the relative financial status of a firm in comparison to other competitive firms.
4. It assists management in decision making process, drafting various plans and also in establishing an effective controlling system.

## Q9 :

What do you understand by analysis and interpretation of financial statements? Discuss their importance.


#### Abstract

Answer : Financial Analysis has great importance to various accounting users on various matters. Income Statements, Balance Sheets and other financial data provide information about expenses and sources of income, profit or loss and also helps in assessing the financial position of a business. These financial data are not useful until they are analysed. There are various tools and methods such as Ratio Analysis, Cash Flow Statements that make the financial data to cater varying needs of various accounting users.


The following are the reasons that advocate in favour of Financial Analysis:

1. It helps in evaluating the profit earning capacity and financial feasibility of a business.
2. It helps in assessing the long-term solvency of the business.
3. It helps in evaluating the relative financial status of a firm in comparison to other competitive firms.
4. It assists management in decision making process, drafting various plans and also in establishing an effective controlling system.

## Q10 :

## What are Comparative Financial Statements?


#### Abstract

Answer : Those financial statements that enable intra-firm and inter-firm comparisons of financial statements over a period of time are called Comparative Financial Statements. In other words, these statements help the accounting users to evaluate and assess the financial progress in the relative terms. These statements express the absolute figures, absolute change and the percentage change in the financial items over a period of time. Comparative Financial Statements present the financial data in such a manner that is easily understandable and can be analysed without any ambiguity. If the accounting policies and practices for the treatment of the items are same over the period of study, only then the Comparative Financial Statements enable meaningful comparisons.


The following are the two Comparative Financial Statements that are commonly prepared:

1. Comparative Balance Sheet
2. Comparative Income Statements

## Q11 :

## Explain how common size statements are prepared giving an example.

## Answer :

The two Common Size Statements that are most commonly prepared are as follows.

1. Common Size Balance Sheet
2. Common Size Income Statements

Common Size Statement is prepared in a columnar form for analysis. In a Common Size Statement each item of the financial statements is compared to a common item. The analyses based on these statements are commonly known as Vertical Analysis.

The following are the columns prepared in a Common Size Statement.

1. Particulars Column: This column shows the various financial items under their respective heads.
2. Amount Columns: These columns depict the amount of each item, sub-totals and the gross total of a particular year.
3. Percentage or Ratio Columns: These columns show the proportion of each item to the common item either in terms of percentage or ratio.

The Common Size Statements can be presented in the following two ways.

## Method 1

1. Percentage Column is shown beside the Amount Column of the year to which percentage column belongs.

| Particulars | Year (2007) | \% | Year (2006) | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs |  | Rs |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Method 2

Amount Columns are shown first and their percentage columns are shown after the Amount Columns.

| Particulars | Year (2007) <br> Rs | Year (2008) <br> Rs | $\% 2007$ | $\% 2008$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The preparation of the Common Size Statements can be better understood by the help of the following example.

Balance Sheet of Indo Press Ltd.

| Liabilities | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2007 \\ \text { Rs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Rs } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Assets | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2007 \\ \text { Rs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { Rs } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital | 1,00,000 | 1,50,000 | Fixed Assets | 2,00,000 | 2,40,000 |
| Reserves and Surplus | 80,000 | 1,20,000 | Investments | 1,20,000 | 1,50,000 |
| Long term Loans | 2,00,000 | 1,50,000 | Current Asset | 1,80,00 | 2,10,000 |
| Current Liabilities | 1,20,000 | 1,80,000 |  |  |  |
|  | 5,00,000 | 6,00,000 |  | 5,00,000 | 6,00,000 |

## Indo Press Ltd.

Comparative Size Balance Sheet for the year 2007 and 2008

| Particulars | 2007 | 2008 | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | Rs | 2007 | 2008 |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Assets | 2,00,000 | 2,40,000 | 40 | 40 |
| Investments | 1,20,000 | 1,50,000 | 24 | 25 |
| Current Assets | 1,80,000 | 2,10,000 | 36 | 35 |
|  | 5,00,000 | 6,00,000 | 100 | 100 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Capital | 1,00,000 | 1,50,000 | 20 | 25 |
| Reserved Surplus | 80,000 | 1,20,000 | 16 | 20 |
| Long terms loans | 2,00,000 | 1,50,000 | 40 | 25 |
| Current liabilities | 1,20,000 | 1,80,000 | 24 | 30 |
|  | 5,00,000 | 6,00,000 | 100 | 100 |

## Preparation

Step 1: Title of the Common Size Statement, i.e. 'Common Size Balance Sheet' is written on the top of the statement.

Step 2: In the 'Particulars' column, the various items of the Balance Sheet are shown under the headings of 'Assets' and 'Liabilities'.

Step 3: In the 'Amount' column, amount of the items are shown in the 'Year' column to which they belong

Step 4: The Assets and Liabilities are totaled and are shown separately for each year.
Step 5: In the 'Percentage' column, the percentage of each item in comparison to the Total of Balance Sheet are shown, i.e. for year 2007, the common base is Rs $5,00,000$ and for the year 2008, the common base is Rs $6,00,000$. The percentage change in each item is calculated by the help of the following formula.

Percentage Change $=\frac{\text { Item }}{\text { Common Base }(\text { Total of Balance Sheet })} \times 100$
For $2007=\frac{\text { Item }}{5,00,000} \times 100$
For $2008=\frac{\text { Item }}{6,00,000} \times 100$

Q12 :
What do you mean by Common Size Statements?


#### Abstract

Answer :

These statements depict the relationship between various items of financial statements and some common items (like Net Sales and the Total of Balance Sheet) in percentage terms. In other words, various items of Trading and Profit and Loss Account such asCost of Goods Sold, NonOperating Incomes and Expenses are expressed in terms of percentage of Net Sales. On the other hand, different items of Balance Sheet such as Fixed Assets, Current Assets, Share Capital etc. are expressed in terms of percentage of Total of Balance Sheet. These percentage figures are easily comparable with that of the previous years' (i.e. inter-firm comparison) and with that of the figures of other firms in the same industry (i.e. inter-firm comparison) as well.


The analyses based on these statements are commonly known as Vertical Analysis.
The following are commonly prepared Common Size Statements.

1. Common Size Balance Sheet
2. Common Size Income Statements

Numerical questions: Solutions of Questions on Page Number : 233
Q1 :

The following are the Balance Sheets of Mohan Ltd., at the end of 2004 and 2005.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Liabilities | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Assets | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Share Capital | 400 | 600 | Land \& buildings | 270 | 170 |
| Reserves \& Surplus | 312 | 354 | Plant \& Machinery | 310 | 786 |
| Debentures | 50 | 100 | Furniture \& Fixtures | 9 | 18 |
| Long-term Loans | 150 | 255 | Other Fixed Assets | 20 | 30 |
| Accounts Payable | 255 | 117 | Loans and Advances | 46 | 59 |
| Other Current Liabilities | 7 | 10 | Cash and Bank | 118 | 10 |
|  |  |  | Account Receivable | 209 | 190 |
|  |  |  | Inventory | 160 | 130 |
|  |  |  | Prepaid Expenses | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Other current Assets | 29 | 40 |

Prepare a Comparative Balance Sheet and study the financial position of the company.

## Answer :

## Comparative Balance Sheet of Mohan Ltd.

| Particulars | 2004 | 2005 | Absolute <br> Increase (+) <br> or <br> Decrease (-) | Percentage <br> Increase (+) <br> or <br> Decrease (-) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs'(000) | Rs'(000) | Rs'(000) | \% |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |

Current Assets<br>Cash and Bank<br>Account Receivable<br>Inventory<br>Prepaid Expenses<br>Loan and Advances<br>Other current Assets<br>Total Current Assets (A)<br>Fixed Assets:<br>Loan and Buildings<br>Plant and Machinery<br>Furniture and Fixtures<br>Other Fixed Assets<br>Total Fixed Assets (B)<br>Total Assets (A+B)<br>\section*{Liabilities:}

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 118 | 10 | $(-) 108$ | $(-) 91.52$ |
| 209 | 190 | $(-) 19$ | $(-) 9.09$ |
| 160 | 130 | $(-) 30$ | $(-) 18.75$ |
| 3 | 3 | - | - |
| 46 | 59 | +13 | +28.26 |
| 29 | 40 | +11 | +37.93 |
| 565 | 432 | $(-) 133$ | $(-) 23.54$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 270 | 170 | $(-) 100$ | $(-) 37.04$ |
| 310 | 786 | +476 | +153.54 |
| 9 | 18 | +9 | +100.00 |
| 20 | 30 | +10 | +50.00 |
| 609 | 1,004 | +395 | +64.86 |
| 1,174 | 1,436 | +262 | +22.32 |

Q2 :

The following are the balance sheets of Devi Co. Ltd at the end of 2002 and 2003. Prepare a Comparative Balance Sheet and study the financial position of the concern.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Liabilities | Rs | $\mathbf{R s}$ | Assets |  |  |
| Equity Capital | $1,20,000$ | $1,85,000$ | Fixed Assets | $1,40,000$ | $1,95,000$ |
| Preference Capital | 70,000 | 95,000 | Stock | 40,000 | 45,000 |
| Reserves | 30,000 | 35,000 | Debtors | 70,000 | 82,500 |
| P\&L | 17,500 | 20,000 | Bills Receivables | 20,000 | 50,000 |
| Bank overdraft | 35,000 | 45,450 | Prepaid Expenses | 6,000 | 8,000 |
| Creditors | 25,000 | 35,000 | Cash at bank | 40,000 | 48,500 |
| Provision for Taxation | 15,000 | 22,500 | Cash in hand | 5,000 | 29,000 |
| Proposed Dividend | 8,500 | 20,050 |  |  |  |
|  | $3,21,000$ | $4,58,000$ |  | $3,21,000$ | $4,58,000$ |

## Answer :

## Comparative Balance Sheet of Devi Co Ltd.

| Particulars | 2002 | 2003 | Absolute <br> Increase <br> (+) or <br> Decrease (â€‘) | Percentage <br> Increase (+) or <br> Decrease (â€") |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | Rs | Rs | \% |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Stock | 40,000 | 45,000 | + 5,000 | + 12.50 |
| Debtors | 70,000 | 82,500 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 12,500 \end{array}$ | + 17.86 |
| Bills Receivables | 20,000 | 50,000 | $30,000$ | + 150.00 |
| Prepaid Expenses | 6,000 | 8,000 | +2,000 | + 33.33 |
| Cash at Bank | 40,000 | 48,500 | +8,500 | +21.25 |
| Cash in hand | 5,000 | 29,000 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 24,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | +480.00 |
| Total Current Assets (A) | 1,81,000 | 2,63,000 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 82,000 \end{array}$ | +45.30 |
| Fixed Assets (B) | 1,40,000 | 1,95,000 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 55,000 \end{array}$ | +39.29 |
| Total Assets ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ ) | 3,21,000 | 4,58,000 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 1,37,000 \end{array}$ | + 42.68 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Bank Overdraft | 35,000 | 45,450 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 10,450 \end{array}$ | + 29.86 |
| Creditors | 25,000 | 35,000 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ | + 40.00 |
| Provision for Taxation | 15,000 | 22,500 | + 7,500 | + 50.00 |
| Proposed Dividend | 8,500 | 20,050 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 11,550 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | + 135.88 |
| Total Current Liabilities (A) | 83,500 | 1,23,000 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ 39,500 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | +47.31 |
| Equity Capital | 1,20,000 | 1,85,000 | $\underset{65,000}{+}$ | + 54.17 |


| Preference Capital | 70,000 | 95,000 | + | +35.71 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| Reserves | 30,000 | 35,000 | $+5,000$ | +16.67 |
| P\&L | 17,500 | 20,000 | $+2,500$ | +14.29 |
| Shareholder Fund (B) | $2,37,500$ | $3,35,000$ | + | +41.05 |
|  |  |  | 97,500 |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholder Fund <br> (A+B) | $3,21,000$ | $4,58,000$ | + | +42.68 |

Interpretation

1) The comparative balance sheet of a company reveals that during the year 2003, there has been an increase in fixed asset by 55,000 i.e. $39.29 \%$ while equity Capital increase by 65,000 i.e. 54.17 and preference capital increase by 25,000 i.e. 35.71 . It shows that company purchase fixed assets from long term source of finance, which does not affect the working capital.
2) The current assets have increased by 82,000 i.e. $42.30 \%$ and current liabilities have increased by 39,500 i.e. 47.31 . It shows ratio between current assets and current liabilities more or less should be same compare to previous year.
3) The overall financial position of a company is satisfactory.

Working Notes

1) Absolute Increase or Decrease $=\underline{\text { Current Year- Previous Year }}$
2) Percentage Increase or Decrease $=\frac{\text { Absolute Increase or Decrease }}{\text { First year absolute figure }} \times 100$

Q3 :

Convert the following Income Statement into Common Size Statement and interpret the changes in 2005 in the light of the conditions in 2004.

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Rs | Rs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gross Sales | 30,600 | 36,720 |
| Less : Returns | 600 | 700 |
| Net Sales | 30,000 | 36,020 |
| Less : Cost of Goods Sold | 18,200 | 20,250 |
| Gross Profit | 11,800 | 15,770 |
| Less : Operating Expenses |  |  |
| Administration Expenses | 3,000 | 3,400 |
| Sales Expenses | 6,000 | 6,600 |
|  | 9,000 | 10,000 |
| Income from Operations | 2,800 | 5,770 |
| Add $:$ Non-operating Income | 300 | 400 |
|  | 3,100 | 6,170 |
| Less : Non-operating Expenses | 400 | 600 |
| Net Profit | 2,700 | 5,570 |

## Answer :

## Common Size Income Statement

| Particulars | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | \% | Rs | \% |
| Gross Sales | 30,600 | 102.00 | 36,720 | 101.94 |
| Less: Return | 600 | 2.00 | 700 | 1.94 |
| Net Sales | 30,000 | 100.00 | 36,020 | 100.00 |
| Less: Cost of Goods Sold | 18,200 | 60.67 | 20,250 | 56.22 |
| Gross Profit | 11,800 | 39.33 | 15,770 | 43.78 |
| Less: Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Administration Expenses | 3,000 | 10.00 | 3,400 | 9.43 |
| Sales Expenses | 6,000 | 20.00 | 6,600 | 18.32 |
| Total Expenses | 9,000 | 30.00 | 10,000 | 27.75 |
| Income from operations | 2,800 | 9.33 | 5,770 | 16.01 |
| $A d d$ : Non-operating Income | 300 | 1.00 | 400 | 1.11 |
| Total Income | 3,100 | 10.33 | 6,170 | 17.12 |
| Less: Non-operating Expenses | 400 | 1.33 | 600 | 1.66 |
| Net Profit | 2,700 | 9.00 | 5,570 | 15.46 |

## Interpretation:

1) The Net Profit of the company increased from $9 \%$ to $15.46 \%$ as the income from operations has increased from $9.15 \%$ to $15.71 \%$.
2) Simultaneously the company has tried to reduce its costs to improve its profit margin.
3) Profitability of the company has improved over the year.

## Q4 :

Following are the balance sheets of Reddy Ltd. as on 31 March 2003 and 2004.

| Liabilities | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Assets | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Share Capital | 2,400 | 3,600 | Land \& buildings | 1,620 | 1,040 |
| Reserves \& Surplus | 1,872 | 2,124 | Plant \& Machinery | 1,860 | 4,716 |
| Debentures | 300 | 600 | Furniture \& Fixtures | 54 | 108 |
| Long-term Debt | 900 | 1,530 | Other Fixed Assets | 120 | 180 |
| Bills Payable | 1,530 | 702 | Long-terms Loans | 276 | 354 |
| Other Current Liabilities | 42 | 60 | Cash \& Bank Balances | 708 | 60 |
|  |  |  | Bill Receivable | 1,254 | 1,120 |
|  |  |  | Stock | 960 | 780 |
|  |  |  | Prepaid Expenses | 18 | 18 |
|  |  |  | Other Current Assets | 174 | 240 |
|  |  |  | 8,044 | 8,616 |  |

Analyse the financial position of the company with the help of the Common Size Balance Sheet.

## Answer :

## Common Size Balance Sheet of Reddy Ltd.

as on March 31, 2003 and 2004

| Particulars | 2003 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | \% | Rs | \% |
| Fixed Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Land and Building | 1,620 | 23.00 | 1,040 | 12.07 |
| Plant and Machinery | 1,860 | 26.41 | 4,716 | 54.73 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 54 | 0.77 | 108 | 1.25 |
| Other Fixed Assets | 120 | 1.70 | 180 | 2.09 |
| Total Fixed Assets (A) | 3,654 | 51.88 | 6,044 | 70.14 |
| Investments (B) |  |  |  |  |
| Long Term Loan | 276 | 3.91 | 354 | 4.12 |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Bank Balances | 708 | 10.05 | 60 | 0.70 |
| Bill Receivable | 1,254 | 17.80 | 1,120 | 13.00 |
| Stock | 960 | 13.63 | 780 | 9.05 |
| Prepaid Expenses | 18 | 0.26 | 18 | 0.21 |
| Other current Assets | 174 | 2.47 | 240 | 2.78 |
| Total current Assets (c) | 3,114 | 44.21 | 2,218 | 25.74 |
| Total Assets (A+B+C) | 7,044 | 100.00 | 8,616 | 100.00 |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Bills Payable | 1,530 | 21.72 | 702 | 8.14 |
| Other current Liabilities | 42 | 0.59 | 60 | 0.70 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,572 | 22.31 | 762 | 8.84 |
| Long Term External Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Debentures | 300 | 4.26 | 600 | 6.96 |
| Long Term Debt | 900 | 12.77 | 1,530 | 17.76 |
| Total Long Term External Liabilities | 1,200 | 17.03 | 2,130 | 24.72 |
| Share Holders Fund <br> Share Capital | 2,400 | 34.07 | 3,600 | 41.78 |

Reserve and Surplus
Total Liabilities

| 1,872 | 26.57 | 2,124 | 24.66 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4,272 | 60.64 | 5,724 | 66.44 |
| 7,044 | 100.00 | 8,616 | 100.00 |

## Interpretation:

1) The Current Assets has decreased from $44.21 \%$ to $25.74 \%$ i.e. by $18.47 \%$ and the Current Liabilities has reduced from $22.31 \%$ to $8.84 \%$ i.e. by $13.47 \%$. Despite the decrease in the Current Assets and the decrease in the Current Liabilities, the Current Ratio has improved.
2) Fixed Assets, Long term External Debts and the Share Capital have increased from $51.88 \%$ to $70.14 \%, 17.03 \%$ to $24.72 \%, 34.07 \%$ to $41.78 \%$ respectively. Thus from this, it can be inferred that the company had purchased fixed assets from long-term source of finance. As the fixed assets were financed through the long-term debts, so the company's working capital remained unaffected.
3) Analysing the reducing figures of the Cash and Bank Balances, it can be inferred that the company has a poor cash management policy. Thus we can predict that the company may face an acute liquidity problem.

Q5 :

The accompanying balance sheet and profit and loss account related to SUMO Logistics Pvt. Ltd. Convert these into Common Size Statements.

Previous Year $=2005$, Current Year $=2006$

|  | Previous | Current |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Year | Year |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Equity Share Capital (of Rs 10 each) | 240 | 240 |
| General Reserve | 96 | 182 |
| Long Term loans | 182 | 169.5 |
| Creditors | 67 | 52 |
| Outstanding expenses | 6 | - |
| Other Current liabilities | 9 | 6.5 |
| Total Liabilities | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ |
| Assets | 402 | 390 |
| Plant assets net of accumulated less depreciation | 54 | 78 |
| Cash | 60 | 65 |
| Debtors | 84 | 117 |
| Inventories | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ |
| Total Assets |  |  |

## Income Statement for the year ended

|  | Rs'000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Previous | Current |
|  |  |  |
|  | Year | Year |
| Gross Sales | 370 | 480 |
| Less : Returns | 20 | 30 |
| Net Sales | 350 | 450 |
| Less : Cost of goods sold | 190 | 215 |
| Gross Profit | 160 | 235 |
| Less : Selling general and administration expenses | 50 | 72 |
| Operating profit | 110 | 163 |
| Less : Interest expenses | 20 | 17 |
| Earnings before tax | 90 | 146 |
| Less : Taxes | 45 | 73 |
| Earnings After Tax | 45 | 73 |

## Answer :

## Common Size Balance Sheet of SUMO Logistics Pvt. Ltd.

As on 2005 and 2006

| Particulars | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rs'000 | $\%$ | Rs'000 | \% |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Share Capital (of Rs 10 each) | 240 | 40 | 240 | 36.92 |
| General Reserve | 96 | 16 | 182 | 28 |
| Long-term Loan | 182 | 30.33 | 169.5 | 26.08 |
| Creditors | 67 | 11.17 | 52 | 8 |
| Outstanding Expenses | 6 | 1 | - |  |
| Other Current Liabilities | 9 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 1 |
| Total Liabilities | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Plant less Accumulated Depreciation | 402 | 67 | 390 | 60 |
| Cash | 54 | 9 | 78 | 12 |
| Debtors | 60 | 10 | 65 | 10 |
| Inventories | 84 | 14 | 117 | 18 |
| Total Assets | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Common Size Income Statement of SUMO Logistics Pvt. Ltd.
For the year ended 2005 and 2006

| Particulars | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rs'000 | \% | Rs'000 | $\%$ |
| Gross Sales | 370 | 105.71 | 480 | 106.67 |
| Less: Returns | 20 | 5.71 | 30 | 6.67 |
| Net Sales | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Less: Cost of Goods Sold | 190 | 54.29 | 215 | 47.77 |
| Gross Profit | 160 | 45.71 | 235 | 52.23 |
| Less: Selling General and Administration Expenses | 50 | 14.28 | 72 | 16.00 |
| Operating Profit | 110 | 31.43 | 163 | 36.23 |
| Less: Interest Expenses | 20 | 5.72 | 17 | 3.77 |
| Earnings Before Tax | 90 | 25.71 | 146 | 32.46 |
| Less: Taxes | 45 | 12.86 | 73 | 16.23 |
| Earnings After Tax | 45 | 12.86 | 73 | 16.23 |

Q6 :

From the following particulars extracted from P\&L A/c of Prashanth Ltd., you are required to calculate trend percentages

|  | Sales | Wages | Bad Debts | Profit after tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Rs | Rs | Rs | Rs |
| 2003 | $3,50,000$ | 50,000 | 14,000 | 16,000 |
| 2004 | $4,15,000$ | 60,000 | 26,000 | 24,500 |
| 2005 | $4,25,000$ | 72,200 | 29,000 | 45,000 |
| 2006 | $4,60,000$ | 85,000 | 33,000 | 60,000 |

## Answer :

Trend Percentages (Base Year 2003 = 100)

| Year | Sales | Trend | Wages | Trend | Bad <br> debts | Trend <br> $\%$ | Profit after <br> Tax | Trend <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | $\%$ | Rs | $\%$ | Rs | $\%$ | Rs | $\%$ |
| 2003 | $3,50,000$ | 100.00 | 50,000 | 100.00 | 14,000 | 100.00 | 16,000 | 100.000 |
| 2004 | $4,15,000$ | 118.57 | 60,000 | 120.00 | 26,000 | 185.71 | 24,500 | 153.125 |
| 2005 | $4,25,000$ | 121.43 | 72,200 | 144.40 | 29,000 | 207.14 | 45,000 | 281.250 |
| 2006 | $4,60,000$ | 131.43 | 85,000 | 170.00 | 33,000 | 235.71 | 60,000 | 375.000 |

Trend Percentage $=\frac{\text { Present Year Value }}{\text { Base Year Value }} \times 100$

Q7:
Calculate trend percentages from the following figures of ABC Ltd., taking 2000 as base and interpret them.

| Year | Sales | Stock | Profit before tax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 1,500 | 700 | 300 |
| 2001 | 2,140 | 780 | 450 |
| 2002 | 2,365 | 820 | 480 |
| 2003 | 3,020 | 930 | 530 |
| 2004 | 3,500 | 1160 | 660 |
| 2005 | 4000 | 1200 | 700 |

## Answer :

Trend Percentages $($ Base Year $2000=100)$

| Years | Sales | Trend | Stock | Trend | Profit after Tax | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rs | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | Rs | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | Rs | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| 2000 | 1,500 | 100.00 | 700 | 100.00 | 300 | 100.00 |
| 2001 | 2,140 | 142.67 | 780 | 111.43 | 450 | 150.00 |
| 2002 | 2,365 | 157.67 | 820 | 117.14 | 480 | 160.00 |
| 2003 | 3,020 | 201.33 | 930 | 132.86 | 530 | 176.67 |
| 2004 | 3,500 | 233.33 | 1,160 | 165.71 | 660 | 220.00 |
| 2005 | 4,000 | 266.67 | 1,200 | 171.43 | 700 | 233.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Trend Percentage $=\frac{\text { Present Year Value }}{\text { Base Year Value }} \times 100$
Interpretation

1) Sales has exhibited continuous increasing trend over the period.
2) The value of stock is also increase, with the increase in value of sales.
3) Profit increase more in earlier years as compare to later years. It implies cost of goods, sold and operating expenses are increased in later years.

Q8 :

From the following data relating to the liabilities side of balance sheet of Madhuri Ltd., as on 31st March 2006, you are required to calculate trend percentages taking 2002 as the base year.

| (Rs in lakhs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |
| Share <br> capital <br>  | 100 | 125 | 130 | 150 | 160 |  |
| Surplus | 60 | 65 | 75 | 80 |  |  |
| $12 \%$ | 200 | 250 | 300 | 400 | 400 |  |
| Debentures <br> Bank <br> overdraft <br>  | 10 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 20 |  |
| Loss A/c <br> Sundry <br> Creditors | 40 | 22 | 28 | 26 | 30 |  |

## Answer :

Trend Percentage (Base Year $2003=100$ )


